

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,313	07/24/2003		Philip E. Eggers	A-3-4	1924
21394	7590	08/03/2005		EXAMINER	
		RPORATION	STIGELL, THEODORE J		
680 VAQUEROS AVENUE SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-3523				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			·	3763	

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		EGGERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	10/627,313						
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Theodore J. Stigell	3763					
Period for Reply	in appears on the cover sheet in	nar the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irreply be timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. INTHS (Sometime of this communication).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 July 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	<u> </u>						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-66</u> is/are pending in the appleau 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-66</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Natisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	48) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/627,313

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see The Rejection of Claims under 35 USC 102(b), filed 7/7/2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 54-56 ad 65-66 under USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bales et al (4,682,596).

Claim Objections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 56 recites the limitation "the return electrode" in the first line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no prior recitation of the return electrode in the independent claim 54.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 54-56,59, 61-64 and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bales et al. (4,682,596). See Figure 3 and the respective portions of the specification. Bales et al. teach a surgical instrument for applying high-frequency electrical energy to tissue at a target site comprising a shaft (84), a hemispherical-

Application/Control Number: 10/627,313

Art Unit: 3763

shaped electrode terminal (86), an annular return electrode (90) spaced proximally from the hemispherical-shaped electrode, a connector (94,92) extending from the electrode terminal to the proximal end of the shaft and a non-electrically conducting electrode support (88) that can be made of either ceramic or glass (See Column 7, lines 10-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bales et al. (4,682,596). Bales et al. discloses the invention as substantially claimed. Bales et al. do not disclose the surface area of the tissue treatment surface. However, these parameters are deemed matters of design choice, well within the skill of the ordinary artisan, obtained through routine experimentation in determining optimum results.

Claims 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bales et al. (4,682,596) in view of Herczog et al. (GB 2037167). Bales et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except for explicitly reciting that the shaft has a bent configuration. Herczog et al. teach a bent configuration in the distal portion of the shaft. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to modify the shaft of Bales et al. with the bent configuration taught by Herczog et al. for the well known purpose of providing for a transverse treatment location for structures parallel to the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,313

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Stigell whose telephone number is 571-272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TS

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700